PTO/SB/08B(07-06)

Approved for use through 09/30/2006, OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number Substitute for form 1449B/PTO Complete if Known Application Number 09/944,788 INFORMATION DISCLOSURE Filing Date August 31, 2001 STATEMENT BY APPLICANT First Named Inventor Alfonso Valdes Art Unit 3621 (Use as many sheets as necessary) Examiner Name Sherr, Cristina O. of 2 10454-022001 (SRI/4190-4) Sheet 1 1 Attorney Docket Number

		NON PATENT LITERA	TURE DOCUMENTS	1	
Examiner Initials *	Cite No.1	_number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.			Τ²
	C156	Copy of Order Granting In Part and Denying issued by United States District Court for the Security Systems, Inc., Civ No. 04-1199-SLR page	District of Delawa	re, SRI International, Inc. v. Internet	
	C157		I International, Inc by consists of 2 un	. v. Internet Security Systems, Inc., numbered pages	
	C158	Copy of Memorandum Order Re: Disputed Claim Language, issued by United States District Court for the District of Delaware, SRI International, Inc. v. Internet Security Systems, Inc., Civ No. 04- 1199-SLR, October 17, 2006, pages 1-8			
	Copy of Memorandum Opinion issued by United States District Court for the District of Delaw, SRI International, Inc. v. Internet Security Systems, Inc., Civ No. 04-1199-SLR, October 17, 2 Copy consists of 17 pages				
	C160	Copy of Memorandum Opinion issued by United States District Court for the District of Delaware, SRI International, Inc. v. Internet Security Systems, Inc., Civ No. 04-1199-SLR, October 17, 2006, copy consists of 27 pages			
	C161	CheckPoint Software Technologies, Ltd., "Getting Started with Check Point RealSecure", January 2000, pp. 1-17			
	C162	CheckPoint Software Technologies, Ltd., "Check Point RealSecure Network Engine User Guide", January 2000.			
	C163	Elson, David, "Intrustion Detection, Theory and Practice", March 2000, http://online.securityfocus.com/infocus/1203 .			
	C164	ICSAlabs, "Intrusion Detection System Buyer's Guide", December 1999.			
	SRI's Opening Brief in Support of its Motion for Partial Summary Judgment of No Anticipation by the "EMERALD 1997" Publication. In the United States District Count for the District of Delaware, SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. INTERNET SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC. and SYMANTEC C195 CORPORATION (C.A. No. 4-1199 (SLR)), June 16, 2006 Defendant's Joint Opposition to SRI International, Inc.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment of No. Anticipation by the "EMERALD 1997" Publication, in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. INTERNET SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC. and SYMANTEC CORPORATION (C.A. No. 4-1199 (SLRIY), June 30, 2006 SRI International, Inc.'s Reply in Support of its Motion for Partial Summary Judgment of No. Anticipation by the "EMERALD 1997" Publication, in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. INTERNET SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC. and SYMANTEC CORPORATION (C.A. No. 4-1199 (SLRIY), June 1914 (2006)				
Examiner Signature		/Cristina Sherr/	Date Considered	09/12/2008	

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional).

Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

PTO(S888(07-08)
Approved for use through 0/9/30/2006, OMB 085-0073
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Roduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless locations are anal GMB control new.

Substitute for form 1449B/PTO Complete if Known 09/944.788 Application Number INFORMATION DISCLOSURE Filina Date August 31, 2001 STATEMENT BY APPLICANT First Named Inventor Alfonso Valdes Art I Init 3621 (Use as many sheets as necessary) Sherr, Cristina O. Examiner Name nf 2 10454-022001 (SRI/4190-4) Sheet Attorney Docket Number NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of Cite T² Examiner the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue Initials * No. number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published. SRI International, Inc.'s Opening Brief in Support of its Motion for Partial Summary Judgment That the Live Traffic Article is not a Section 102(b) Printed Publication. In the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. INTERNET SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC. C168 and SYMANTEC CORPORATION (C.A. No. 04-1199 (SLR)), June 16, 2006
Answering Brief of Defendants ISS and Symantec to SRI's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment That the Live Traffic Article is Not a Section 102(b) Printed Publication, In the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. INTERNET SECURITY C169 SYSTEMS, INC, and SYMANTEC CORPORATION (C.A. No. 04-1199 (SLR)), June 30, 2006 SRI International, Inc.'s Reply in Support of its Motion for Partial Summary Judgment That the Live Traffic Article is not a Section 102(b) Printed Publication, In the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. INTERNET SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC. and SYMANTEC CORPORATION (C.A. No. 04-1199 (SLR)), July 10, 2006
ISS's Opening Brief in Support of Their Motion to Preclude SRI, Based on SRI's Conduct in C170 Discovery, From Disputing the Evidence Establishing That the Live Traffic Paper is a 102(b) Invalidating Reference, In the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. INTERNET SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC. and SYMANTEC C171 CORPORATION (C.A. No. 04-1199 (SLR)), June 30, 2006
SRI International, Inc.'s Opposition to ISS's Motion to Preclude SRI, Based on SRI's Conduct in Discovery, From Disputing the Evidence Establishing That the Live Traffic Paper is a 102(b) Invalidating Reference, In the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. INTERNET SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC. and SYMANTEC C172 CORPORATION (C.A. No. 04-1199 (SLR)), July 17, 2006 ISS's Reply Brief in Support of its Motion to Preclude SRI, Based on SRI's Conduct in Discovery, From Disputing the Evidence Establishing That the Live Traffic Paper is a 102(b) Invalidating Reference, In the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. INTERNET SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC. and SYMANTEC CORPORATION (C.A. No. 04-C173 1199 (SLR)), July 24, 2006 Opening Brief in Support of Joint Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 102 & 103 Of Defendants ISS and Symantec, In the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. INTERNET SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC. and C174 SYMANTEC CORPORATION (C.A. No. 04-1199 (SLR)), June 16, 2006
SRI International Inc.'s Response to Defendant's Joint Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 102 & 103, In the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. INTERNET SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC. and SYMANTEC C175 CORPORATION (C.A. No. 04-1199 (SLR)), June 30, 2006
Defendants' Joint Reply Brief in Support of Their Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 102 & 103, In the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. INTERNET SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC. and SYMANTEC CORPORATION (C.A. No. 04-1199 (SLR)), July 19, 2006

*EVAMINET: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 989. Draw line through citation of not in conformance and not considered. Choulde copy of this flow mith met communication to applicant.

Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). *Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is the flow of the conformation is required by 3° CPR1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to fill (and by the USP*O to process) an application. Confideritality is governed by 3° U.S.C. 122 and 3° CPR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to the USP*O to process) an application. Confideritality is governed by 3° U.S.C. 122 and 3° CPR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to consider a process from the USP*O. This will vary depending upon the distriction of the confidered applicants from the the USP*O. This will vary depending upon the distriction of the confidered applicants from the Confideration of the confid

/Cristina Sherr/

Examiner

Signature

1450.

Date

Considered

09/12/2008